

Electricity Production by *Geobacter sulfurreducens* Attached to Electrodes

Daniel R. Bond and Derek R. Lovley*

Department of Microbiology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003

Received 29 August 2002/Accepted 10 December 2002

Previous studies have suggested that members of the *Geobacteraceae* can use electrodes as electron acceptors for anaerobic respiration. In order to better understand this electron transfer process for energy production, *Geobacter sulfurreducens* was inoculated into chambers in which a graphite electrode served as the sole electron acceptor and acetate or hydrogen was the electron donor. The electron-accepting electrodes were maintained at oxidizing potentials by connecting them to similar electrodes in oxygenated medium (fuel cells) or to potentiostats that poised electrodes at +0.2 V versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode (poised potential). When a small inoculum of *G. sulfurreducens* was introduced into electrode-containing chambers, electrical current production was dependent upon oxidation of acetate to carbon dioxide and increased exponentially, indicating for the first time that electrode reduction supported the growth of this organism. When the medium was replaced with an anaerobic buffer lacking nutrients required for growth, acetate-dependent electrical current production was unaffected and cells attached to these electrodes continued to generate electrical current for weeks. This represents the first report of microbial electricity production solely by cells attached to an electrode. Electrode-attached cells completely oxidized acetate to levels below detection (<10 μ M), and hydrogen was metabolized to a threshold of 3 Pa. The rates of electron transfer to electrodes (0.21 to 1.2 μ mol of electrons/mg of protein/min) were similar to those observed for respiration with Fe(III) citrate as the electron acceptor ($E^{\circ} = +0.37$ V). The production of current in microbial fuel cell (65 mA/m² of electrode surface) or poised-potential (163 to 1,143 mA/m²) mode was greater than what has been reported for other microbial systems, even those that employed higher cell densities and electron-shuttling compounds. Since acetate was completely oxidized, the efficiency of conversion of organic electron donor to electricity was significantly higher than in previously described microbial fuel cells. These results suggest that the effectiveness of microbial fuel cells can be increased with organisms such as *G. sulfurreducens* that can attach to electrodes and remain viable for long periods of time while completely oxidizing organic substrates with quantitative transfer of electrons to an electrode.

Fuel cells theoretically bypass the inefficiencies of internal combustion engines by directly oxidizing and reducing compounds at electrode surfaces, the most common example being the hydrogen fuel cell, which oxidizes hydrogen at the anode surface and passes electrons to a cathode, where they are used to reduce molecular oxygen (10). So-called microbial fuel cells seek to add the diversity of microbial catalytic abilities to this high-efficiency design, allowing organic compounds, from simple carbohydrates to waste organic matter, to be converted into electricity (28).

Previous studies on the microbial conversion of organic matter to electricity have noted several problems. A major shortcoming is that most microorganisms studied to date only partially oxidize their organic substrates and transfer a portion of these electrons to electrodes (8, 9, 11, 12, 24, 25). Furthermore, electrical current was generated only when soluble mediator compounds were added to these microbial cultures to facilitate electron transfer from the bacteria to the electrodes. Examples of mediators include potassium ferricyanide (9), anthraquinone 2,6-disulfonic acid, cobalt sepulchrate (7), thionine (13), neutral red (24), and azure A (3). The requirement for mediators is problematic, because many of these mediators are toxic

and cannot be used when electrical energy is harvested from organic matter in an open environment.

A new concept in the construction of microbial fuel cells resulted from the observation (26) that if graphite or platinum electrodes were placed into anoxic marine sediments and connected to similar electrodes in the overlying oxic water, sustained electrical power could be harvested (on the order of 0.01 W/m² of electrode). Analysis of the microbial community firmly attached to anodes harvesting electricity from a variety of sediments demonstrated that microorganisms in the family *Geobacteraceae* were highly enriched on these anodes (1, 27; D. Holmes, D. Bond, L. M. Tender, and D. R. Lovley, submitted for publication). Given the ability of *Geobacteraceae* to transfer electrons to other insoluble electron acceptors, such as Fe(III) oxides (14), these results suggested that the electrode surfaces were serving as terminal electron acceptors for *Geobacteraceae*.

Studies with *Desulfuromonas acetoxidans*, a marine representative of the *Geobacteraceae*, demonstrated that suspensions of this organism could oxidize acetate in a two-electrode fuel cell that simulated the marine sediment fuel cells, with no added mediator compounds (1). Furthermore, a freshwater representative of the *Geobacteraceae*, *Geobacter metallireducens*, oxidized aromatic compounds, such as benzoate and toluene, to carbon dioxide in a three-electrode poised-potential system, where an electrode maintained at +0.2 V (versus an

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003. Phone: (413) 545-9651. Fax: (413) 545-1578. E-mail: dlovley@microbio.umass.edu.

Ag/AgCl reference electrode) served as the sole electron acceptor (1). Since *Geobacteraceae* are not known to produce any soluble electron shuttles (20), it was hypothesized that these *Geobacteraceae* were directly transferring electrons to the electrode surface. However, the mechanisms for this electron transfer, the possibility that this form of electron transport could support cell growth, and the role of attached versus unattached cells have not been previously investigated.

The study of *Geobacteraceae* has been facilitated by the availability of the genome sequence of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* and the development of a genetic system for this organism (4). In order to take advantage of these tools in elucidating cell-electrode interactions in the *Geobacteraceae*, studies were initiated with *G. sulfurreducens*. Here we report that (i) *G. sulfurreducens* can completely oxidize electron donors by using only an electrode as the electron acceptor, (ii) it can quantitatively transfer electrons to electrodes in the absence of electron mediators, and (iii) this electron transfer is due to a population of cells that attach to the electrode and are capable of rates of electron transport to electrodes that are similar to those observed for electron transport to Fe(III) citrate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media and growth conditions. *G. sulfurreducens* strain PCA (ATCC 51573) was obtained from our laboratory culture collection. All incubations were done at 30°C. Growth medium contained the following (per liter): 0.1 g of KCl, 0.2 g of NH₄Cl, 0.6 g of NaH₂PO₄, 10 ml of vitamin mix (16), and 10 ml of trace mineral mix (16). The medium was adjusted to pH 6.8, 2 g of NaHCO₃ was added, and the medium was flushed with N₂-CO₂ (80:20) to remove oxygen before autoclaving in sealed bottles. Acetate served as the electron donor unless otherwise indicated. To obtain cells well adapted to utilizing insoluble electron acceptors, cells were maintained on this medium amended with 100 to 120 mM poorly crystalline Fe(III) oxide (17) as the electron acceptor. The cells were then transferred (10% inoculum) three times in medium containing 40 mM fumarate as the electron acceptor prior to inoculation into electrode-containing chambers. The growth medium in electrode-containing chambers was amended with 2.9 g of NaCl to minimize differences in osmolarity between the fumarate medium and the electrode growth medium, which lacked fumarate. When growth medium was replaced with an anaerobic salts buffer in electrode experiments, the buffer contained the following (per liter): 0.1 g of KCl, 0.6 g of NaH₂PO₄, 2.9 g of NaCl, and 2 g of NaHCO₃.

Electrodes and electrode chambers. A dual-chambered fuel cell was constructed with 54-mm-outside-diameter glass tubing and a 22-mm-outside-diameter pinch clamp assembly. The top of each chamber was sealed with a glass dome attached to a ground glass fitting, and the junction was sealed with silicone grease and thick glove box tape. Sampling ports sealed with butyl stoppers, and aluminum crimps were added to the sides and top of each chamber, while electrodes were introduced from the top by feeding a wire through a butyl stopper in the sampling port. The volume of each chamber, with the electrode, was approximately 225 ml of medium with a 150-ml headspace. The chambers were separated with a cation-selective membrane (Nafion 117; Electrosynthesis, Lancaster, N.Y.). The electrodes for fuel cells were 1- by 3- by 0.5-in. sticks of unpolished graphite (grade G10; Graphite Engineering and Sales, Greenville, Mich.). New electrodes were soaked in 1 N HCl that was changed daily until extractable Fe(II) was below detection. After each use, the electrodes were washed in 1 N HCl and 1 N NaOH to remove possible metal and biomass contamination. Connections were made with threaded watertight connectors using no. 20 AWG marine-grade wire (Impulse, San Diego, Calif.) screwed into holes drilled directly in the graphite electrodes. Holes were filled with silver epoxy (Epoxy Technology, Billerica, Mass.) and sealed with epoxy (type 730; Epoxy Technology). A reference electrode (BAS, West Lafayette, Ind.) was introduced into the anode-working electrode chamber by embedding it in a butyl rubber stopper and was sterilized by immersing the electrode and stopper in 5 N HCl for 5 min, rinsing in ethanol, and allowing the electrode to fully dry before placing it in a sampling port.

When electrodes were operated as fuel cells, the anode chamber (where cells were to be grown and used to donate electrons to the anode) was sterilized,

flushed with anaerobic grade gas, and filled with anaerobic growth medium. The cathode chamber (aerobic chamber where oxygen was used as the electron acceptor for the electrode) was filled with a similar medium in which NaHCO₃ was replaced with 30 mM Tris-HCl as a buffering agent. The cathode chamber was provided with air that was passed through a 0.45- μ m-pore-size filter, and the anode chamber was mixed slowly (200 rpm) with a magnetic stir bar. Bubbling and mixing in each chamber was kept to a minimum to prevent excessive exchange of oxygen across the semipermeable membrane separating the two chambers. When the electrodes were poised with a potentiostat, both chambers were filled with identical growth media and the counter electrode chamber was flushed with a slow stream of N₂-CO₂ (80:20). As the counter electrode chamber was anaerobic, small amounts of hydrogen were produced at the counter electrode as the potentiostat disposed of electrons donated to the working electrode by microorganisms. Slow flushing of this chamber prevented hydrogen from diffusing into the working electrode chamber and serving as an electron donor for bacteria.

Current and voltage measurements for long-term studies were collected directly from potentiostat outputs every 10 s with a Power Lab 4SP unit connected to a Power Macintosh computer, and data was logged with Chart 4.0 software (ADInstruments, Mountain View, Calif.). Fuel cell power output was monitored by measuring the voltage across a known resistance (500 ohms) in the fuel cell. For current-voltage analysis, fuel cells were allowed to equilibrate at open circuit for ~2 to 3 h, until the open circuit potential stabilized. The resistance between electrodes was lowered stepwise, pausing at each resistance setting for 5 min. Current (in milliamperes) was integrated over time and converted to electrons recovered by using the following conversions: $1\text{ C} = 1\text{ A} \times 1\text{ s}$, $1\text{ C} = 6.24 \times 10^{18}$ electrons, and $1\text{ mol} = 6.02 \times 10^{23}$ electrons (96,500 C/mol). Background current (current at the working electrode in the absence of cells, typically 0.03 to 0.04 mA) was determined for each experiment and subtracted from all values before calculating the total electron recovery.

SEM. Electrodes were removed from electrode chambers, rinsed with sterile medium, and immersed in sterile growth medium (buffer) plus 1% glutaraldehyde and 1% formaldehyde. A saw was used to cut 20 to 40 mm beneath the surface of the electrode, allowing the surface to be removed as a thin plate without disturbing attached organisms or exposing them to graphite powder from the saw. Subsamples were postfixed overnight in 1% osmium tetroxide in buffer on ice, rinsed three times in buffer and then once in distilled water, and dehydrated by a graded ethanol series (30, 50, 70, 80, 95, 100, 100, and 100%; 30 min each stage with very gentle periodic agitation). The electrode was CO₂-critical point dried from ethanol transitional solvent with a 3-h slow, continuous exchange. Electrode pieces were mounted on aluminum specimen mounts with contact adhesive, and conducting bridges were applied with isopropanol-based colloidal graphite paint. The samples were sputter coated in a Polaron E-5100 Sputter Coater (2 min at 2.2 kV) with argon at 13 Pa by using a gold-palladium target and observed in a JEOL JSM-5400 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The SEM was operated at 15 kV, and images were captured digitally.

Other analyses. To extract protein from electrodes, the electrodes were shaken to remove free medium and then placed in a petri dish with 3 ml of 0.2 N NaOH. The NaOH solution was withdrawn into a 3-ml syringe and flushed over the surface of the electrode six to eight times over a 1-h extraction period. This liquid was removed and weighed, and the electrode was further rinsed with an equivalent amount of deionized water. The liquids were pooled, yielding a sample in 0.1 N NaOH, which was frozen (-20°C). Planktonic biomass was measured by collecting 10 ml of medium from culture chambers, centrifuging the sample at 10,000 $\times g$ for 5 min, removing the supernatant, and adding 1 ml of 0.1 N NaOH to the centrifuge tube for resuspension of cells and freezing (-20°C). Thawed samples were heated at 100°C for 10 min, and protein was measured by the bicinchoninic acid method against a bovine serum albumin standard in 0.1 N NaOH with reagents obtained from Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, Mo.).

Acetate and organic acids were determined via high-pressure liquid chromatography with a fast acid analysis column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, Calif.) operated with 0.002 N H₂SO₄ as the eluant and UV detection. For hydrogen analysis, gas samples were injected into a 0.5-ml sampling loop, separated on a Supelco 100/120 Carbosieve S-II column at room temperature with N₂ gas as the carrier, and detected with a reduction gas analyzer (RGD2; Trace Analytical, Melno Park, Calif.) (15).

RESULTS

Fuel cells. To initiate growth on graphite electrodes, sterile anaerobic chambers (225 ml) containing a graphite electrode (61.2-cm² surface area) were inoculated with stationary-phase




FIG. 1. Current production by *G. sulfurreducens* in a microbial fuel cell. Cells were inoculated into an anaerobic chamber containing growth medium (5 mM acetate) and a graphite electrode connected to another electrode in an aerobic chamber. At the indicated times, medium was removed and replaced with sterile, anaerobic salts buffer plus 5 mM acetate.

cultures of *G. sulfurreducens* that had been grown with fumarate as the electron acceptor (10% inoculum). The electrodes were connected via a 500-ohm fixed resistor to a similar electrode in a second sterile chamber which was bubbled continuously with air, and the chambers were separated by a cation-selective membrane. This fuel cell apparatus was designed to be similar to the conditions used to harvest electricity from sediments. Acetate (5 mM) was provided as the electron donor, and no electron acceptors other than the electrode were present. Electron flow began to increase soon after inoculation (Fig. 1). When anaerobically grown cultures of *Escherichia coli* were placed in similar chambers with glucose as the electron donor, even at levels equivalent to 100% inoculum no current flow was observed.

When electrical current production became stable (0.4 mA, or 65 mA/m² of electrode surface) (Fig. 1), data were collected to determine the voltage and power production sustained across a range of current densities obtained by varying the resistance between the electrodes (Fig. 2). To determine if this power production was affected by free cells, or by soluble medium components, growth medium in the anaerobic chambers was removed under sterile, anaerobic conditions. Chambers were refilled with a sterile, anaerobic buffer that did not contain any vitamins, minerals, or N or S sources in order to remove any soluble compounds and limit further growth of cells. When acetate was again added as the electron donor, electrical current production rapidly rose to a maximum and stabilized at levels similar to those observed prior to medium replacement (Fig. 1). After 5 days of operation, the medium was again removed and replaced, and upon addition of 5 mM acetate, the current returned to similar levels.

While the power produced by a fuel cell at high rates of




FIG. 2. Current-voltage and current-power (watts = amperes \times volts) relationships for fuel cells containing *G. sulfurreducens* shown in Fig. 1. Open symbols represent the current produced from the oxidation of acetate in a fuel cell after the initial growth of cells in the electrode chamber. Closed symbols represent current produced from the oxidation of acetate in the fuel cell after the medium was replaced the second time.

current flow (e.g., >0.2 mA) is largely limited by ohmic (transport of ionic species through the medium) and mass transfer (transport of donor or acceptor to the electrode surface) factors, power production at low rates of current flow is largely influenced by the rate of charge transfer at the electrode surface. If soluble mediators or cells were facilitating electron transfer, significant differences in the current-power relationship at low current densities would be expected following medium replacement. Analysis of the voltage and power production sustained over a range of current densities after the second medium change resulted in a current-power profile that was almost identical to that initially observed (Fig. 2), even though this represented the second time that the medium had been replaced in the electrode chamber, planktonic biomass was below detection limits, and electrode-attached cells had been deprived of growth nutrients for over 7 days.

Poised-potential growth. To study growth under more-defined conditions and remove the effects of electron transfer reactions at the cathode, similar chambers and graphite electrodes were used in conjunction with a potentiostat to poise the working electrode (anode) at a constant potential (+200 mV versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode). As in fuel cell experiments, chambers were inoculated with stationary-phase cultures (5%) of *G. sulfurreducens* grown with fumarate as the electron acceptor. There was an acetate-dependent exponential increase in current production following inoculation (Fig. 3). Rates of current increase averaged 0.04 h⁻¹. When the acetate was exhausted, current production fell to a basal rate. Analysis of organic acids in the electrode chamber indicated that slow oxidation of compounds present in the inoculum, such as succinate (primarily to fumarate), was responsible for this current production in acetate-depleted cultures.

When 2 mM acetate was used to establish electrode-at-




FIG. 3. Growth and current production by *G. sulfurreducens* inoculated into a chamber containing a graphite electrode poised at +200 mV versus an Ag/AgCl reference. Acetate (1 mM) was provided with the initial inoculum, and pulses of 1 mM acetate were given at the times indicated to demonstrate acetate-dependent growth. Inset gives data for current on a semilogarithmic scale to show exponential growth.




FIG. 4. Growth and current production by *G. sulfurreducens* in a chamber containing a graphite electrode poised at +200 mV versus an Ag/AgCl reference and effect of removing the growth medium and replacing it with anaerobic buffer plus acetate as the electron donor. Acetate (0.5 mM) was provided after replacing the medium, followed by a second pulse of 1 mM.

tached cultures, an average of 3.2 mg ($n = 2$) of cell protein (0.052 mg/cm² of electrode surface area) could be extracted from the electrodes with NaOH. To determine if current production could be attributed solely to the biomass attached to the electrode, new cultures were established with electrodes and the growth medium was replaced with buffer, as described in the experiments with fuel cells. When the growth medium was replaced with the anaerobic salts buffer, the production level of electrical current was similar to previous levels as soon as acetate was added as an electron donor (Fig. 4). In addition, current levels did not increase, which is consistent with the nongrowth nature of the medium. When the acetate was depleted, current production fell to background levels but was restored with the addition of more acetate. These electrodes, with their attached populations, were maintained in this nongrowth state for as long as 4 weeks with little deterioration in performance.

At the end of these incubations, electrodes were removed and the biomass was extracted. The attached biomass averaged 2.9 mg (standard deviation = 1.7; $n = 3$) or 0.047 mg/cm² of electrode. SEM of electrode surfaces recovered at this stage revealed nearly full coverage of the electrode surface by a layer of cells, which was rarely more than a few cells thick. A sample SEM field is shown in Fig. 5.

Rates of current production from cultures of *G. sulfurreducens* attached to electrodes prepared in this manner and exposed to acetate concentrations at or below 2 mM were typically 1 to 3 mA, but rates of 5 to 7 mA have been obtained at higher (10 mM) acetate concentrations. This represents a range of 163 to 1,143 mA/m² of electrode surface area. When

rates of current production (by attached populations) were corrected for biomass extracted from these same electrodes, rates of electron transport to electrodes ranged from 0.21 to 1.2 μ mol of electrons/mg of protein/min. In comparison, the rates of electron transport to soluble Fe(III) citrate by *G. sulfurreducens* have been estimated to be 1 to 3 μ mol of electrons/mg of protein/min (A. Esteve-Nunez, M. M. Rothermich, M. L. Sharma, and D. R. Lovley, submitted for publication). As rates of growth by *G. sulfurreducens* are significantly slower when insoluble Fe(III) substrates are used [6- to 8-h doubling times when using Fe(III) citrate versus 12- to 24-h doubling times when using Fe(III) oxides], rates of electron transport to solid electrodes that are slower than those with Fe(III) citrate are expected.

Stoichiometry and energetics. Comparisons of acetate disappearance with electron recovery both in fuel cell experiments and with poised electrodes were consistent with eight electrons harvested per mole of acetate oxidized (average, 95% recovery), indicating that acetate was completely oxidized to CO₂. For example, in the acetate pulse shown in Fig. 4, 85.8 C of charge (0.889 mmol) was recovered at the working electrode (when corrected for background current). During this period, 0.51 mM acetate was oxidized, and the working volume of the chamber was 225 ml; thus, 0.114 mmol of acetate was oxidized (yielding 0.918 mmol of electrons). These values resulted in the following electron recovery: 0.889/0.918 = 96.8%. No other organic acids were produced in the electrode chambers when acetate was consumed as the electron donor, and acetate was consumed until it reached levels below detection (<10 μ M). Hydrogen levels in the headspace of acetate-con-




FIG. 5. SEM image of an electrode surface following growth of *G. sulfurreducens* with acetate as an electron donor (2 mM) under poised-potential conditions. Over 75% of viewed fields at this magnification had no exposed electrode; however, this image was chosen to provide an example of electrode surface characteristics and show individual bacterial attachment.

suming cultures ranged from 18 to 50 Pa, consistent with the low levels of H_2 production by acetate-oxidizing *G. sulfurreducens* that had been observed previously (5). Temporarily flushing the headspace with N_2 - CO_2 to reduce H_2 concentrations below 1 Pa did not significantly affect the rate of current production, but constant flushing of the headspace to remove evolved hydrogen diminished total electron recovery.

Hydrogen alone as an electron donor (as H_2 - CO_2 [80:20]) supported rates of electrical current production by electrode-attached cells that were similar to those obtained with acetate as the electron donor (Fig. 6). Hydrogen did not react with sterile graphite electrodes or produce current when cells were not present. When hydrogen was the sole electron donor available for electricity production, cells metabolized hydrogen to an apparent threshold of 3 Pa after a 7-day equilibration, which is equivalent to a $\Delta E'$ for the $2H^+$ - H_2 redox couple of -0.27 V (versus a SHE).

When the potentiostat was turned off in the presence of excess electron donor (acetate) and allowed to come to equilibrium, the potential of the electrode rapidly decreased (Fig.

7). Equilibrium potentials reached at these electrodes averaged -0.42 V versus the Ag/AgCl reference electrodes. As reference electrodes were found to produce potentials of $+0.25$ V when tested in the medium used for these studies, these equilibrium values were equivalent to a potential of -0.17 V (versus SHE) [for comparison, the potential of Fe(III) citrate, a common electron acceptor for *G. sulfurreducens*, is $E^{\circ'} = +0.37$ V].

DISCUSSION

These studies demonstrate that *G. sulfurreducens* grows on the surface of energy-harvesting anodes in mediator-free microbial fuel cells, forming a stable, attached population that can continually produce electrical current via the oxidation of organic matter or hydrogen coupled to electron transfer to the electrode. Recovery of acetate as electrical current is quantitative, and both acetate and hydrogen can be metabolized to low concentrations. As discussed below, these findings greatly expand the potential for using microorganisms to convert or-




FIG. 6. Hydrogen-dependent current production by attached populations of *G. sulfurreducens* in two different chambers (open and closed symbols) containing graphite electrodes poised at +200 mV versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Hydrogen-carbon dioxide (80:20) and nitrogen-carbon dioxide (80:20) gas mixtures were bubbled directly into the electrode chambers where indicated.

ganic matter to electricity because previously described microbial fuel cells did not effectively oxidize the organic fuel and most required the addition of electron transfer-mediating compounds and/or large amounts of biomass.

Electron transport to electrodes. The results show that *G. sulfurreducens* can effectively catalyze the transfer of electrons from the oxidation of acetate or hydrogen to graphite electrodes. Rates of electron transfer to the electrode were comparable to those achieved by *G. sulfurreducens* with Fe(III)-citrate as an electron acceptor (Esteve-Nunez et al., submitted). This ability is consistent with the previous finding that two other *Geobacteraceae*, *D. acetoxidans* and *G. metallireducens*, were able to use electrodes as electron acceptors for organic matter oxidation (1). However, in those previous studies it was not clear whether the electron transfer to the electrodes resulted from planktonic cells that intermittently interacted with the electrode or whether the cells became firmly attached to the electrode surface. For example, recent studies have suggested that although *G. metallireducens* directly attaches to Fe(III) oxides in order to reduce them, it is motile during Fe(III) oxide reduction, suggesting that attachment to Fe(III) oxides is temporary (2).

The finding that the medium surrounding the electrodes could be completely replaced without significantly altering the capacity for power production demonstrated that *G. sulfurreducens* cells attached to the electrode surface were responsible for power production. The attached populations were stable and, even when maintained in medium which lacked nutrients essential to support growth, consistently continued to produce power for weeks. Attached cells were capable of transferring electrons under both fuel cell and poised-potential conditions.

The direct attachment of the cells to the electrode is consistent with the known physiology of *Geobacteraceae*. Unlike other Fe(III)-reducing bacteria such as *Shewanella* (22, 23) and *Geothrix* (21), *Geobacteraceae* do not appear to produce soluble electron-shuttling compounds to assist electron transfer to metals (20). This suggests that *G. sulfurreducens* would need to directly contact the electrode surface in order to transfer electrons to the electrode. SEM images of the electrode surface after growth under poised-potential conditions also revealed a community densely coating the electrode surface.

The reduction of Fe(III) oxides by *G. sulfurreducens* is thought to involve numerous electron transport proteins, including an 89-kDa *c*-type cytochrome that was a component of a membrane-bound Fe(III) reductase complex purified from *G. sulfurreducens* (18, 19) and is required for effective Fe(III) reduction in vivo (13a). Purified preparations of this cytochrome have a midpoint potential of -0.19 V (19). This is similar to the estimated equilibrium potential of *G. sulfurreducens* cultures attached to graphite electrodes (-0.17 V) and suggests that the terminal reductase for the electrode at the outer membrane surface may lie near this potential.

In a fuel cell with oxygen as the acceptor at the cathode, oxidation of a donor with a potential of -0.17 V would predict an open circuit potential of $+0.99$ V if the acceptor (cathode) reaction was O_2/H_2O ($\Delta E^{\circ'} = +0.82$ V). If the cathode reaction was O_2/H_2O_2 ($\Delta E^{\circ'} = +0.3$ V), the open circuit potential would be expected to be only $+0.47$ V. Based on the open circuit potentials obtained in the fuel cells (shown in Fig. 2), these calculations suggest that the cathode reaction in graphite-electrode *Geobacter* fuel cells is more likely to involve hydrogen peroxide formation.

The ability of *G. sulfurreducens* to colonize the electrode surface and conserve energy to support growth from electron transport to the electrode provides a possible explanation for




FIG. 7. Response of the graphite electrode potential to an attached population of *G. sulfurreducens* metabolizing acetate as the electron donor, after electrode control (poising by potentiostat) was switched off.

the predominance of *Geobacteraceae* on electrodes harvesting electricity from a variety of aquatic sediments (1, 27; Holmes et al., submitted). *Geobacteraceae* are able to oxidize acetate, the primary organic intermediate in the degradation of organic matter in anoxic aquatic sediments, with an electrode as the sole electron acceptor. This may provide them with a competitive advantage over other microorganisms that cannot use acetate as an electron donor in anaerobic respiration and/or cannot directly transfer electrons to electrodes in a manner that generates energy.

Comparison with previously described microbial fuel cells.

The electron transfer from *G. sulfurreducens* to electrodes is different from previously described microbial fuel cells. For instance, in microbial fuel cells where the metabolism of sugars or organic acids was shifted to end products more oxidized than the products formed during fermentation in the absence of an electrode, a significant fraction of the electrons available in the initial electron donor remained in the end products (8, 9, 12, 24). Of the electrons released from this partial metabolism, typically only 30 to 60% were recovered by electrodes (6, 12, 13). In all of these cases, electron transfer mediator compounds, such as thionine, potassium ferricyanide, or neutral red, had to be added to obtain any current production (9, 13, 24) and there was no evidence that electron transfer to the mediator compound provided energy to support growth of the microorganisms. Most previously described microbial fuel cells were operated for a single pulse of substrate addition, and performance significantly declined over time (6, 12). In contrast, *G. sulfurreducens* was able to completely oxidize its organic electron donor with nearly quantitative transfer of electrons to the electrode, without the need for an electron transfer mediator, and the *G. sulfurreducens* systems remained stable for weeks.

Exceptions to experiments using electron mediators are recent reports that *Shewanella putrefaciens* and a *Clostridium* isolate can transfer electrons to electrodes without the addition of exogenous electron-shuttling compounds (11, 12, 25). In fuel cells containing dense washed-cell suspensions (200 mg [dry weight]/liter) of *S. putrefaciens* (12) and graphite felt electrodes of sizes similar to those used with *G. sulfurreducens* (50 cm²), a current density of 8 mA/m² of electrode surface area, which decayed to 4 mA/m² after 7 days, was observed (compared to the 65 mA/m² obtained in the *G. sulfurreducens* fuel cell). Recovery of the 4 electrons generated per lactate oxidized to acetate ranged from 3.5 to 9%, (or, as complete oxidation of lactate could yield 12 electrons, 1.2 to 3% of electrons were recovered as electricity). Lower recovery in a similar mediatorless system was reported for a *Clostridium* strain isolated from an electricity-harvesting electrode; approximately 0.04% of electrons available from glucose oxidation were transferred to an electrode during a fuel cell incubation (25).

Differences between *G. sulfurreducens* and organisms believed to be active in the absence of added electron mediators are also apparent from reports of poised-potential systems. With a 1,400-cm² electrode poised at +1.0 V (versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode) (11), current production by *S. putrefaciens* reached a peak value of 0.003 mA (0.02 mA/m²), and electron recovery from lactate oxidation was <0.03%. This contrasts with >3,000-fold-higher electron recovery (95% versus 0.03%) and more than 10,000-fold-higher rates of current

flow (326 to 1,143 mA/m² versus 0.003 mA/m²) in the poised-potential systems with *G. sulfurreducens* described here. As it is known that *Shewanella* species can release electron-shuttling compounds into the medium (22, 23), it is not clear how *S. putrefaciens* was transferring electrons to the electrodes in these experiments, but it is clear that significant differences between these organisms exist.

In summary, *G. sulfurreducens* shows significant potential for the harvesting of energy from organic compounds in the form of electricity, especially in comparison to organisms previously studied for this purpose. However, optimization of this process will require a better understanding of the interactions of *G. sulfurreducens* with the electrode surface. Such studies should be facilitated by the availability of its complete genome and new tools allowing the study of the *G. sulfurreducens* proteome and transcriptome, as well as a genetic system (4) that will permit more-detailed functional genomic studies with this organism.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Office of Naval Research (ONR; grant N00014-00-0776), the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) Defense Sciences Office (DSO) (grant N66001-02-C-8044), and the Office of Science (BER), U.S. Department of Energy (cooperative agreement DE-FC02-02ER63446).

REFERENCES

- Bond, D. R., D. E. Holmes, L. M. Tender, and D. R. Lovley. 2002. Electrode-reducing microorganisms harvesting energy from marine sediments. *Science* **295**:483–485.
- Childers, S. E., S. Ciuffo, and D. R. Lovley. 2002. *Geobacter metallireducens* access Fe(III) oxide by chemotaxis. *Nature* **416**:767–769.
- Choi, Y., J. Song, S. Jung, and S. Kim. 2001. Optimization of the performance of microbial fuel cells containing alkalophilic *Bacillus* sp. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **11**:863–869.
- Coppi, M. V., C. Leang, S. J. Sandler, and D. R. Lovley. 2001. Development of a genetic system for *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **67**:3180–3187.
- Cord-Ruwisch, R., D. R. Lovley, and B. Schink. 1998. Growth of *Geobacter sulfurreducens* with acetate in syntrophic cooperation with hydrogen-oxidizing anaerobic partners. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **64**:2232–2236.
- Delaney, G. M., H. P. Bennetto, J. R. Mason, S. D. Roller, J. L. Stirling, and C. F. Thurston. 1984. Electron-transfer coupling in microbial fuel cells. II. Performance of fuel cells containing selected microorganism-mediator combinations. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. B Biotechnol.* **34**:13–27.
- Emde, R., and B. Schink. 1990. Enhanced propionate formation by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* subsp. *freudenreichii* in a three-electrode amperometric culture system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **56**:2771–2776.
- Emde, R., and B. Schink. 1990. Oxidation of glycerol, lactate, and propionate by *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* in a poised-potential amperometric culture system. *Arch. Microbiol.* **153**:506–512.
- Emde, R., A. Swain, and B. Schink. 1989. Anaerobic oxidation of glycerol by *Escherichia coli* in an amperometric poised-potential culture system. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **32**:170–175.
- Haynes, C. 2001. Clarifying reversible efficiency misconceptions of high temperature fuel cells in relation to heat engines. *J. Power Sources* **92**:199–203.
- Kim, B. H., H. J. Kim, M. S. Hyun, and D. H. Park. 1999. Direct electrode reaction of Fe(III)-reducing bacterium, *Shewanella putrefaciens*. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **9**:127–131.
- Kim, H. J., H. S. Park, M. S. Hyun, I. S. Chang, M. Kim, and B. H. Kim. 2002. A mediator-less microbial fuel cell using a metal reducing bacterium, *Shewanella putrefaciens*. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **30**:145–152.
- Kim, N., Y. Choi, S. Jung, and S. Kim. 2000. Effect of initial carbon sources on the performance of microbial fuel cells containing *Proteus vulgaris*. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **70**:109–114.
- Leang, D., M. V. Coppi, and D. R. Lovley. OmcB, a c-type polyheme cytochrome involved in Fe(III) reduction in *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *J. Bacteriol.*, in press.
- Lonergan, D. J., H. Jenter, J. D. Coates, E. J. P. Phillips, T. Schmidt, and D. R. Lovley. 1996. Phylogenetic analysis of dissimilatory Fe(III)-reducing bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **178**:2402–2408.
- Lovley, D. R., and S. Goodwin. 1988. Hydrogen concentrations as an indicator of the predominant terminal electron accepting reactions in aquatic sediments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **52**:2993–3003.

16. **Lovley, D. R., and E. J. P. Phillips.** 1988. Novel mode of microbial energy metabolism: organic carbon oxidation coupled to dissimilatory reduction of iron or manganese. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **54**:1472–1480.
17. **Lovley, D. R., and E. J. P. Phillips.** 1986. Organic matter mineralization with reduction of ferric iron in anaerobic sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **51**:683–689.
18. **Magnuson, T. S., A. L. Hodges-Myerson, and D. R. Lovley.** 2000. Characterization of a membrane bound NADH-dependent Fe³⁺ reductase from the dissimilatory Fe³⁺ reducing bacterium *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **185**:205–211.
19. **Magnuson, T. S., N. Isoyama, A. L. Hodges-Myerson, G. Davidson, M. J. Maroney, G. G. Geesey, and D. R. Lovley.** 2001. Isolation, characterization, and gene sequence analysis of a membrane associated 89 kDa Fe(III) reducing cytochrome from *Geobacter sulfurreducens*. *Biochem. J.* **359**:147–152.
20. **Nevin, K. P., and D. R. Lovley.** 2000. Lack of production of electron-shuttling compounds or solubilization of Fe(III) during reduction of insoluble Fe(III) oxide by *Geobacter metallireducens*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **66**:2248–2251.
21. **Nevin, K. P., and D. R. Lovley.** 2002. Mechanisms for accessing insoluble Fe(III) oxide during dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction by *Geothrix fermentans*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**:2294–2299.
22. **Nevin, K. P., and D. R. Lovley.** 2002. Mechanisms for Fe(III) oxide reduction in sedimentary environments. *Geomicrobiol. J.* **19**:141–159.
23. **Newman, D. K., and R. Kolter.** 2000. A role for excreted quinones in extracellular electron transfer. *Nature* **405**:94–97.
24. **Park, D. H., and J. G. Zeikus.** 2000. Electricity generation in microbial fuel cells using neutral red as an electronophore. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **66**:1292–1297.
25. **Park, H. S., B. H. Kim, H. S. Kim, H. J. Kim, G. T. Kim, M. Kim, I. S. Chang, Y. H. Park, and H. I. Chang.** 2001. A novel electrochemically active and Fe(III)-reducing bacterium phylogenetically related to *Clostridium butyricum* isolated from a microbial fuel cell. *Anaerobe* **7**:297–306.
26. **Reimers, C. E., L. M. Tender, S. Fertig, and W. Wang.** 2001. Harvesting energy from the marine sediment–water interface. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **35**:192–195.
27. **Tender, L. M., C. E. Reimers, H. A. Stecher, D. E. Holmes, D. R. Bond, D. L. Lowy, K. Pilobello, S. J. Fertig, and D. R. Lovley.** 2002. Buried treasure; harnessing microbial power generation on the seafloor. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **20**:821–825.
28. **Wingard, L. B., Jr., C. H. Shaw, and J. F. Castner.** 1982. Bioelectrochemical fuel cells. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **4**:137–142.